**Peer review for Team - 4**

**Summary:**

I fail to bring up your application due to security concerns. From the code review, I can see that your code structure clearly and correctly reflects the multi-area application catering to two types of user groups: guests and administrators. You have fully met the business and technical requirements for this submission. However, I still identified a few flaws, as identified below, for your reference. (90-8)

For peer review by Team 1, Team 1 correctly points to the issue in Con.1 and Con.2, but was wrong in Con.3. Since I cannot bring up Team 4’s application, I trust Team 1’s review on the 2 cons. Team 1 also missed some flaws, as identified below.

For the peer review for Team 3, Team 4 did a good job, pointing out the fundamental issue that \_AdminLayout and \_MainLayout did not include the navbar to build the navigation pane for each type of user. (peer review: 10)

Identified Flaw:

1. \_AdminLayout
   1. please replace these hard-coded options by for loop through the collection returned from a table query. No points are deducted this time, but you will lose points if it stays in the next submission.
   2. Construct the <a> link using the tag helpers (-3)
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AI-generated content may be incorrect.

* 1. You don’t need \_ViewStart and \_ViewImports for the admin area. The special area can reference these two files from the main area. (-1)
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1. \_Layout

2.1 All the nav links should use tag helper to dynamically create the routing. Do not hard code the value for “href”.

1. \_Mainlayout

same issue as 1.1 and 1.2
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1. Two cons identified by Team 1 (-4)